





		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/982,993 10/22/2001		Alfred Wing Kin Chan	401432 8236		
23548	7590	11/20/2003		EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW			NICHOLSO	NICHOLSON, ERIC K	
SUITE 300	LIVIII OI	. 14 17	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON DC	20005-3960	3670		

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/982,993	CHAN, ALFRED WING	SKIN 🥦
Examiner	Art Unit	
Eric K Nicholson	3679	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED	FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONE	DITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
			of this application. A proper reply	
			ndment which places the applica	
		al (with appeal fee);	or (3) a timely filed Request for (	Continued
Examination (RCE) in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a)	) or b)]	

condition for allowar	ance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tire; in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) 🛛 The period for	or reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
event, however	or reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ver, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date CK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	of the final rejection.
have been filed is the date 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculat (b) above, if checked. Any	may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 te for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of taled from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set inly reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final restment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	he fee. The appropriate extension fee under in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
	Appeal was filed on <u>10 November 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be file 92(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissa	
2. The proposed	ed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) 🗌 they raise	se new issues that would require further consideration and/or search	ı (see NOTE below);
(b) 🔲 they raise	se the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
	e not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by ma for appeal; and/or	aterially reducing or simplifying the
(d) ☐ they pre NOTE:	resent additional claims without canceling a corresponding number o	f finally rejected claims.
3. ☐ Applicant's re	reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	sed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a ne non-allowable claim(s).	separate, timely filed amendment
•	fidavit, b)  exhibit, or c)  request for reconsideration has been co in condition for allowance because:	nsidered but does NOT place the
	t or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLEL e Examiner in the final rejection.	Y to issues which were newly
	s of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be	
The status of	of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allow	owed:	
Claim(s) obje	jected to:	
Claim(s) reje	ected:	
Claim(s) with	thdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing of	correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved b	y the Examiner.
9. Note the attac	ached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
10. Other:	_	
		Anla
	7	Eric K Nicholson Primary Examiner
		Art Unit: 3679

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

ć